arXiv:hep-ph/9503317v1 14 Mar 1995

Open Charm Production in Hadronic and Heavy-Ion Collisions at RHIC and LHC Energies to $O(\alpha_s^3)$

Ina Sarcevic[a]

[a]Department of Physics, University of Arizona,
Tucson, AZ 85721

We present results on rapidity and transverse momentum distributions of inclusive charm quark production in hadronic and heavy-ion collisions at RHIC and LHC energies, including the next-to-leading order, $O(\alpha_s^3)$, radiative corrections and the nuclear shadowing effect. We determine the hadronic and the *effective* (in-medium) K-factor for the differential and total inclusive charm cross sections. We show that these K-factors have strong p_T dependence. We discuss how measurements of charm production at RHIC and LHC can provide valuable information about the gluon density in a nucleus.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, there has been a considerable theoretical and experimental interest in studying heavy-quark production in hadronic and nuclear collisions. Theoretical calculation of the heavy-quark differential and total cross sections has been improved by including the next-to-leading order, $O(\alpha_s^3)$, radiative corrections [1,2]. For bottom and charm production these corrections are large, especially at threshold energies and at very high energies. Future high-precision measurements of the charm production at Fermilab fixed-target experiments could therefore provide a stringent test of perturbative QCD [3]. In addition, studying charm production at the proposed heavy-ion colliders, BNL's Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) and CERN's Large Hadron Collider (LHC) is of special interest. Open charm production has been suggested as an elegant signal for detecting the formation of quark gluon plasma (QGP) in heavy-ion collisions [4]. If thermalization is reached in heavy-ion collisions at RHIC and LHC, we expect a very dense matter, of the order of few GeV$/fm^3$, to be formed in the initial stage of the collision [5]. It seems plausible that this density is sufficient for creating a new state of matter, the QGP. The search for a clean, detectable signal for this new state of matter has been one of the most challenging theoretical problem for the last few years. Some of the proposed signals, thermal photons, dileptons and J/Ψ suppression, have been studied and found to be difficult to detect due to the large QCD background [6]. In order that the enhanced charm production can be used as the signal of QGP, we need to understand the QCD background, namely the production of charm quarks through the hard collisions of partons inside the nuclei. This type of charm production at RHIC and LHC energies is dominated by initial-state gluons. Therefore, in addition to the possibility of pointing towards the formation of quark-gluon plasma in high-energy heavy-ion collisions, combined measurements of charm production in p-p, p-A and A-A collisions could provide valuable information about the gluon density in a nucleus.

2. CHARM PRODUCTION IN HIGH ENERGY HADRONIC AND NUCLEAR COLLISIONS

We calculate the total cross section for charm production in hadronic collisions by convolving the parton densities in the hadron with the hard-scattering parton cross sections. Our calculation includes both the leading order subprocesses, $O(\alpha_s^2)$, such as $q + \bar{q} \rightarrow Q + \bar{Q}$ and $g + g \rightarrow Q + \bar{Q}$, and next-to-leading order subprocesses, $O(\alpha_s^3)$, such as $q + \bar{q} \rightarrow Q + \bar{Q} + g$, $g + q \rightarrow Q + \bar{Q} + g$, $g + \bar{q} \rightarrow Q + \bar{Q} + \bar{q}$ and $g + g \rightarrow Q + \bar{Q} + g$. Due to the fact that the hadronic structure functions have not been measured below $Q^2 = 8.5GeV^2$ [7], we take factorization scale to be $2m_c$. We consider renormalization scale between m_c and $2m_c$. In our calculation we use two-loop-evolved parton structure functions, MRS A [8], as a canonical set. In order to reduce theoretical uncertainty due to the choice of the renormalization scale, we compare our calculation of the total cross section to the current data for charm production in pp and pA collisions for beam energies ranging from $50GeV$ to $2TeV$ [9]. We find better agreement with the data when we use the renormalization scale $Q^2 = m_c^2$. At these energies, the uncertainty due to the choice of the structure function is negligible.

2.1. The Nuclear Shadowing Effect

To determine charm production in nuclear collisions we need to know the parton densities *in-medium* and the geometrical (spatial) overlapping function. If nucleons were independent, the parton densities in a nucleus would simply be A times the parton density in a nucleon. At high energies, the parton densities become so large that the sea quarks and gluons from different nucleons overlap spatially and the nucleus cannot be treated as a collection of uncorrelated nucleons. This interactions of partons through annihilation effectively reduce the parton densities in a nucleus. Recent EMC, NMC, and E665 measurements of F_2^A/F_2^D in the small-x region have confirmed this picture [10]. Furthermore, these measurements indicate that shadowing effect has strong x-dependence. The next-to-leading order subprocesses also have different contributions in different regions of phase space. Thus, we also calculate the single inclusive distributions, such as the rapidity and transverse momentum distributions [11]. We obtain the overlapping function by integrating the Woods-Saxon nuclear densities over the appropriate overlapping spatial region. In case of Au-Au collisions, the value for this function at small impact parameter (i.e. for central collisions) is $30.6mb^{-1}$.

2.2. Rapidity and Transverse Momentum Distributions for Charm Production at RHIC and LHC Energies

In Fig. 1 we present our results for the rapidity distributions of charm quarks produced in hadronic (short-dashed line) and Au-Au collisions (solid line) at RHIC and LHC energies. We include the next-to-leading order corrections, $O(\alpha_s^3)$, and the nuclear shadowing effect [12]. From Fig. 1 we note that the hadronic K-factor is 2 at RHIC and 2.2 at LHC in the central rapidity region. To illustrate the importance of the $O(\alpha_s^3)$ corrections *combined* with nuclear shadowing effects in different regions of phase space we determine the *effective* (i.e. in-medium) K-factor, defined as the ratio of the inclusive distribution for charm production in A-A collisions to the leading-order distribution *without* nuclear effects. We find the effective K-factor to be 1.5 at RHIC and 1.3 at LHC in the central rapidity region. In Fig. 2 we present the p_T-distribution of charm quark produced in hadronic (short-dashed line) and Au-Au collisions (solid line). We find that both hadronic and in-medium K-factor have strong p_T dependence, increasing from 1.7 (1.1) at $p_T = 1$GeV to 3.1 (3) at $p_T = 6$GeV at RHIC and from 1.5 (0.7) at $p_T = 1$GeV to 7.6 (4.6) at $p_T = 6$GeV at the LHC. The behavior of the *effective* K-factor is a direct consequence of the fact that the low p_T region (or small x) corresponds to the maximum shadowing of the gluon distribution, which in case of gold is about 62%, while the larger values of p_T probe region of phase space where the nuclear shadowing is smaller.

Figure 1. The rapidity distribution for charm quark production at RHIC and LHC.

Figure 2. The transverse momentum distribution for charm quark production at RHIC and LHC energies.

Theoretical uncertainty in the calculation of charm production in nuclear collisions due to the choice of the structure function is small at Fermilab fixed target energies (only few percent) and at RHIC (8% in the central rapidity region), while at LHC energies this uncertainty is about a factor of 6. Furthermore, the shape of the rapidity distribution and the transverse momentum distribution at LHC is very sensitive to the small-x behavior of the gluon structure function. We find that the dominant contribution to charm production comes from the initial state gluons (about 95% at RHIC and 99% at LHC). Thus, combined measurements of inclusive charm production in hadronic and nuclear collisions at these energies, in addition to providing an important test of perturbative QCD in the small-Q^2 and small-x region, might be able to provide valuable information about the elusive role of gluons inside a nucleus, especially in the region of very small x.

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The results presented here were obtained in collaboration with P. Valerio. This work was supported in part through U.S. Department of Energy Grant DE-FG03-93ER40792.

REFERENCES

1. P. Nason, S. Dawson and R. K. Ellis, Nucl. Phys. B327 (1989) 49; ibid. Nucl. Phys. B303 (1988) 607.
2. M. L. Mangano, P. Nason and G. Ridolfi, Nucl. Phys. B373 (1992) 295.
3. For a review of the future plans for high precision charm measurements see, Proceedings of the Workshop on the Future of High Sensitivity Charm Experiments: CHARM2000, Batavia, IL. 7-9 June 1994.
4. E. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 (1992) 3270; K. Geiger, Phys. Rev. D48 (1993) 4129.
5. B. Muller, in Particle Production in Highly Excited Matter, eds. H. Gutbrod and J. Rafelski (Plenum, New York, 1993).
6. For a recent review of the thermal photons and dileptons see, V. Ruuskanen, Nucl. Phys. **A525** (1991) 255c and on J/ψ suppression see, S. Gavin, in Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Physics and Astrophysics of Quark Gluon Plasma, Calcutta, 19-23 January 1993, in press.
7. For a recent review of the structure functions and HERA physics see, G. Wolf, DESY preprint, DESY 94-022.
8. A. D. Martin, W. J. Stirling and R. G. Roberts, RAL preprint, RAL-94-055.
9. S. Aoki *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B224 (1989) 441; S. P. K. Tavernier, Rep. Prog. Phys. 50 (1987) 1439; S. Barlag *et al.*, Z. Phys. C39 (1988) 451.
10. NMC Collaboration, P. Amaudruz *et al.*, Z. Phys. C51 (1991) 387; E665 Collaboration, M. R. Adams *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 (1992) 3266; EMC Collaboration, J. Ashman *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B202 (1988) 603; M. Arneodo et al., Phys. Lett. B211 (1988) 493.
11. I. Sarcevic and P. Valerio, Phys. Lett. B338, 426 (1994).
12. I. Sarcevic and P. Valerio, Phys. Rev. C51 (March 1995).